

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patta Plaza, Panaji.

Misc. Appli. No. 6/2006/Police
in Appeals No. 9 and 10/2006.

Shri Joao C. Pereira
H. No. 40, Acsona – Utorda,
Majorda, Salcete – Goa.

..... Complainant.

V/s.

1. The Public Information Officer,
Suptd. Of Police (South),
Margao – Goa.
2. The First Appellate Authority,
Dy. Inspector General of Police – II,
Police Headquarters, Panaji – Goa.

..... Opponent.

CORAM :

Shri A. Venkataratnam
State Chief Information Commissioner
&
Shri G. G. Kambli
State Information Commissioner

(Per G. G. Kambli)

Dated: 08/11/2006.

ORDER

The grievances of the Complainant is that the Complainant by application dated 15/11/2005, sought the information, inter alia a copy of his statement dated 2/4/2005 whereas the Complainant has been provided with a copy of the statement dated 2/4/2004. Hence, the Complainant's case is that he has not been provided with the copy of the statement dated 2/4/2005. The Complainant was asked as to whether any statement was recorded by the Police on 2/4/2004. Attention of the Complainant was also drawn to the date written below the signature of the Complainant on the so called statement dated 2/4/2004. To this, the Complainant stated that the signature contained in the said statement is not the signature of the Complainant and also the said statement is not the statement of the Complainant. The Complainant also stated that he addressed a letter dated 26/10/2006 to the Public Information Officer as well as the First Appellate Authority that the copy of the statement provided to the Complainant is not the statement of the Complainant and the signature appearing in the said statement is forged signature. The Complainant has stated that he has also not received any reply from the opponents.

...2/-

2. On perusal of the copy of statement produced by the Complainant, it is seen that the said statement contains the date as 02/04/2004 and below the signature the date as

02/04/2005. The Complainant admitted that no statement was recorded on 2/4/2004 and therefore, it has to be presumed that there is an error in recording the correct date. As regards the allegations of the Complainant that it is not the statement of the Complainant and the signature is forged, the Commission has no authority to verify the signature. In case, the Complainant feels that the signature is forged he can resort to the remedies available under the law.

3. We do not find any substance in the present complaint dated 6/11/2006 and therefore, we dismiss the same. Inform the Complainant.

(G. G. Kambli)
State Information Commissioner, GOA.

(A. Venkataratnam)
State Chief Information Commissioner, GOA.